

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,341	06/19/2001	Shlomo Orbach	ORBACH4	9649
1444	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			PAYNE, DAVID C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2638	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	K .				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/883,341	ORBACH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David C. Payne	2638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	<u>ay 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,8 and 9 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3 and 8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	•	•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/883,341

Art Unit: 2638

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-8, filed 9 May 2005 with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C 102(b) rejection of claim 1 based on Delisle ('076 reference), 35 U.S.C 102(e) rejection of claim 1 based on Cao ('296 reference), and the 35 U.S.C 102(e) rejection of claim 1 based on Cao ('126 reference), have been withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al. US 6879619 B1 (hereinafter Green) in view of Land et al. US 5,170,290 (Land).

Regarding claim 9, Green disclosed

a tunable periodic filter for dropping or adding ( see col. 2, lines 45-50 ) a group of optical wavelengths from/to a spectrum of optical wavelengths being members of an ITU-T grid ( see col. 2, lines 55-57 ) transmitted over an optical line ( see col. 5, lines 15-20 ) so that adjacent optical wavelengths in the spectrum are initially spaced from one another by a basic wavelength step "s", wherein said tunable periodic filter is inserted in said optical line as a primary filter and is constructed to pick selected wavelengths of the group such that adjacent wavelengths of the group are spaced from one another by a group step being equal to ks, see col. 11, lines 55-60.

Green does not disclose where wherein K is an integer >2.

Land disclosed where a tunable comb filter can have a spectral difference substantially larger (2

Application/Control Number: 09/883,341

Art Unit: 2638

times or more) than the line half-widths, **see Land col. 5, lines 8-11**. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use make the tunable filter in Green of a group step larger than 2. One is motivated as such so that a broad light band may be moderated to high and that any specific frequency band of interest could be reflected out, **see Land col. 5, lines 1-11**.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3 and 8 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,341

Art Unit: 2638

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David C. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-3024. The examiner can normally

Page 4

be reached on M-F, 7a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dcp

David C. Payne Patent Examiner

**AU 2638**